UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Miguel Madrid-Gomez

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 2:10CR00572-001JB

USM Number: 49818-051
Defense Attorney: Phillip Medi

THE DEFENDANT:	Defense Attorney: Pnillip Medrano, Appointed	α	
□ pleaded guilty to count(s) Information □ pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) □ after a plea of not guilty was found guilty on count(s)			
The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:			
Title and Section Nature of Offense	Offense Ended	Count	
8 U.S.C. Sec. Re-entry of a Removed Alien 1326(a)/(b)	01/10/2010	Number(s)	
The defendant is sentenced as specified in pages 2 through 6 Act of 1984.	of this judgment. The sentence is imposed un	der the Sentencing Reform	
 ☐ The defendant has been found not guilty on count . ☐ Count dismissed on the motion of the United States. 			
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant must notify name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution			
	April 30, 2010		
County of Residence	Date of Imposition of Judgment		
	/s/ James O. Browning		
	Signature of Judge		
	Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge		
	Name and Title of Judge		
	September 1, 2010		
	Date Signed		

Defendant: Miguel Madrid-Gomez Case Number: 2:10CR00572-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 16 months.

The Court recommends that Immigration and Customs Enforcement begin removal proceedings during service of sentence.

Defendant Miguel Madrid-Gomez` offense level is 10 and his criminal history is category V, establishing a guidelines imprisonment range of 21 to 27 months. Pursuant to rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Court accepts the non-standard fast-track plea agreement, which stipulates to an offense level of 9. The Court is satisfied that the agreed offense level departs for justifiable reasons. An offense level of 9 combined with a criminal history category of V establishes a guideline imprisonment range of 18 to 24 months. The Court believes that, although Madrid-Gomez` criminal history is not substantially over-represented, and does not warrant a downward departure, it is over-represented to some degree, and therefore some variance is appropriate. Because the Court believes that Madrid-Gomez` criminal history has been somewhat over-represented, the Court believes that a slight variance to a sentence of 16 months is appropriate in this case. In arriving at this sentence, the Court has considered not only the guidelines, but also other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the guideline sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of defendant.

The Court believes that a sentence more in line with an offense level of 9 and a criminal history category of IV, which establishes a guideline imprisonment range of 12 to 18 months, is appropriate here. According to the plea offer letter that he received from the United States, his projected offense level was 9, and thus he was not offered a standard fast-track plea agreement. The Court believes that Madrid-Gomez` criminal history is somewhat over-represented and places him at the borderline of category IV and category V. While the Court is reluctant to rely upon plea agreements that were not offered, the Court notes that an offense level of 8 and a criminal history category of V would provide a guideline range of 15 to 21 months, and a criminal history category of IV would provide a guideline range of 10-16 months. Thus, a sentence of 16 months falls within the range of the standard fast-track agreement at either criminal history category. While 16 months is at the high end, rather than the Court`s usual practice to sentence at the low end when there are no aggravating factors, it does not appear that Madrid-Gomez should get the full benefit of a plea agreement not offered and be sentenced at the low end of the range.

The Court believes, in part, that there is some over-representation, because the Court has some concerns about using recency as a good indicator of past criminal activity, or a good predictor of criminal history, and shares the current Sentencing Commission's concerns about recency points. The Court also recognizes that, although it is concerned with Madrid-Gomez` DUI convictions, the Court does not believe his criminal history can be viewed as violent or potentially violent, and therefore a sentence more consistent with category IV is appropriate. Madrid-Gomez` multiple DUI convictions indicate to the Court, however, that he seems to have a pattern of criminal behavior, and thus the variance in this case should not be great. After careful consideration, the Court believes that a sentence of 16 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). The Court's task, as a district judge, is not to come up with a reasonable sentence, but to arrive at one that balances those factors properly and fully; nevertheless, the Court believes that a 16-month sentence is a more reasonable one than an 18-month sentence. The Court also believes a sentence of 16 months is sufficient without being greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of punishment set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act. The Court, therefore, sentences Miguel Madrid-Gomez to a term of 16 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

X	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:				
	The Court recommends a facility closest to Tampa Bay, FL, if eligible.				
⊠□	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:				
	as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:				

Case 2:10-cr-00572-JB Document 21 Filed 09/01/10 Page 3 of 6

Defendant: Miguel Madrid-Gomez Case Number: 2:10CR00572-001JB

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of 3 years unsupervised.

The defendant shall report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons. The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. The defendant shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

For offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994:

The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as directed by the probation officer.

The above drug testing condition is suspended based on the courts determination that the defendant possesses a low risk of future substance
abuse. (Check, if applicable.)
The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any dangerous weapon. (Check, if applicable).
The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable).
The defendant shall register with the state sex offender registration agency in the state where the defendant resides, works, or is a student, as
directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable)
The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (Check, if applicable)

If this judgment imposes a fine or a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of probation that the defendant pay any such fine or restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release in accordance with the Schedule of Payments set forth in the Criminal Monetary Penalties sheet of this judgment.

The defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth below). The defendant shall also comply with the additional conditions on the attached page (if indicated below).

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 2) the defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 4) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 5) the defendant shall obtain and maintain full time, legitimate employment, or attend a vocational or academic training program throughout the term of supervised release as directed by the probation officer;
- 6) the defendant shall notify the probation officer ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;
- 7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 8) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- 9) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 10) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- 12) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court;
- 13) as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement;

AO 245B (Rev. 12/03) Sheet 3 Judgment - Page 5 of 6

Defendant: **Miguel Madrid-Gomez** Case Number: **2:10CR00572-001JB**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

The defendant must not reenter the United States without legal authorization.

AO 245B (Rev.12/03) Sheet 5, Part A - Criminal Monetary Penalties

Judgment - Page 6 of 6

Defendant: Miguel Madrid-Gomez Case Number: 2:10CR00572-001JB

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant shall pay th	e following total criminal monetary penalti	es in accordance with the sche	dule of payments.					
The Court hereby remits the defendant's Special Penalty Assessment; the fee is waived and no payment is required.								
Totals:	Assessment	Fine	Restitution					
	\$waived	\$0.00	\$0.00					
SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS								
Payments shall be applied	in the following order (1) assessment; (2) r	estitution; (3) fine principal; (4	4) cost of prosecution; (5) interest;					
(6) penalties.								
Payment of the total fine a	nd other criminal monetary penalties shall	be due as follows:						
The defendant will receive	credit for all payments previously made to	ward any criminal monetary po	enalties imposed.					
A In full immed	iately; or							
B	y, balance due (see special instructions rega	arding payment of criminal mo	netary penalties).					

Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties: Criminal monetary penalties are to be made payable by cashier's check, bank or postal money order to the U.S. District Court Clerk, 333 Lomas Blvd. NW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 unless otherwise noted by the court. Payments must include defendant's name, current address, case number and type of payment.

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made as directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States attorney.